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Executive Summary

NetForecast began measuring the accuracy of the Cox Internet data usage in 2010 and has continuously audited the accuracy of meter since 2016. This report covers the 12-month period from January through December 2019. The Cox meter accuracy specification states that the meter should correctly measure traffic within plus-or-minus (+/-) 1% on a month-end and daily basis. Notable undercounting occurred throughout 2019, which benefited Cox’s subscribers. There were infrequent and small overcounting occurrences, however, there was no observed overcounting above the +1% specification over the course of a full month.

For Cox, NetForecast performs both passive measurements of real user traffic in subscriber homes, and active reference tests in which a NetForecast probe and server generate the only traffic on dedicated test lines. For both approaches, NetForecast performs independent traffic measurements, obtains daily usage meter records from Cox for each location, and compares NetForecast’s measurements with Cox’s records.

NetForecast gathers usage data throughout the year. The accuracy assessment in this report is based on 9,223,480 audit measurements, exceeding the minimum number of samples required for statistical reliability. NetForecast analyzes each site’s data to determine how accurately the meter counted that site’s data for the month. The results are reported using three metrics: site monthly error, month-end error (MEE) frequency, and yearly Apdex score.

NetForecast analyzed the number and magnitude of out-of-spec month-end errors normalized across all measured sites and all months in the year using a standard 95% confidence interval calculation. NetForecast validates with 95% statistical confidence that in 2019, across all measured sites, out-of-spec monthly errors had an overall impact of undercounting by -5.83% as Figure 1 shows (-5.87 out-of-spec low plus +0.04 out-of-spec high). This undercounting benefited Cox subscribers.

Figure 1 – Site Monthly Error in 2019

The extent of resulting high (overcounting) or low (undercounting) error conditions applied to all site-months is shown in Figure 2.

Month-end meter errors resulted in an overall Apdex score of 0.92, a rating of “Good” for the year (see Appendix A for information on Apdex).

NetForecast’s audit methodology has been assessed by NERA Economic Consulting [1], which concluded that the measurement technology is unbiased, and the analytical process is reliable, with appropriate confidence intervals.
NetForecast Meter Accuracy Assessment

How often and by how much was the meter out-of-spec at month end?

NetForecast determined the frequency and magnitude of month-end errors normalized across all sites and all months using a standard 95% confidence interval calculation. The magnitude of over/undercounting is reflected in the monthly error calculations, which quantify how much the meter would be affected (with 95% confidence) when a site over or undercounted. Only out-of-spec site months (those with values greater than +1.0% and less than -1.0%) are used in this metric.

![Figure 2 – Cox Meter Month-end Error Frequency Distribution in 2019](image)

During 2019, the Cox meter met the accuracy goal at month end 70.7% of the time as Figure 2 shows. Given the margin of error, the sample size, and the standard deviation, the Cox meter could be expected to overcount 0.2% of the time and undercount 29.1% of the time in 2019.

As Figure 1 shows, when a site undercounted, the expected underreporting amount was -5.87%, and when a site overcounted, the expected overreporting amount was +0.04%.

Undercounting is most often caused by missed or lost traffic counts. Processing errors or resource limitations in the meter system sometimes result in counts not accumulating in the meter. Overcounting is rare compared to undercounting and is generally caused by retransmissions due to packet loss.

How well did the meter perform on an annual basis?

NetForecast applied the Apdex methodology (see Appendix A) to month-end error results to determine the meter’s overall monthly accuracy score and associated accuracy rating, also known as the yearly Apdex score. Think of this process as calculating the numeric score on a test that results in a letter grade. For example, an 88% score on a test results in a grade (rating) of “B.” A high score indicates that more site months were within the
specification goal of +/-1%. Site month errors above +1% negatively impact the scores much more than errors below -1%.

NetForecast measured and documented the month-end error of Cox’s data usage meter system for every measurement site in every month in 2019. Figure 3 shows the Apdex score history for all years the Cox meter has been continuously measured with the current NetForecast methodology. Figure 3 shows the Apdex score history. Values below Excellent are overwhelmingly due to undercounting (i.e., count errors under -1%).

Cox’s data usage meter accuracy improved from 2018 to 2019, and remained within the ‘Good’ category.

![Figure 3 – 2016 through 2019 Yearly Apdex Scores](image)

**Meter Accuracy Factors**

Cox worked with NetForecast to create a Cox-specific Internet Data Usage Meter Accuracy Specification that defines goals which NetForecast assessed. The following table summarizes the goals for the accuracy specification factors, and Cox’s performance relative to those goals. A comprehensive description of data usage meter specification factors is available in NetForecast’s report, *General ISP Data Usage Meter Specification and Best Practices* [2].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Meter Factor</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cox Goal</strong></th>
<th><strong>NetForecast Assessment</strong></th>
<th><strong>Goal Met</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What Is Counted</strong></td>
<td>Count all subscriber-generated IP traffic across the subscriber’s Internet access line, including IP protocol management traffic and Ethernet framing.</td>
<td>NetForecast validates that the meter counts as specified.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meter Record Update Rate</strong></td>
<td>Aggregate, mediate, and store data as a formal meter record on a daily basis.</td>
<td>NetForecast validates that the meter records are processed and stored on a daily basis.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy Time Period</strong></td>
<td>Measure accuracy on a cumulative daily and month-end basis.</td>
<td>NetForecast measured accuracy on a cumulative daily and month-end basis.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Error Bounds</strong></td>
<td>Meet an accuracy goal of +/-1% on a cumulative daily and month-end basis.</td>
<td>NetForecast validates that the meter met the +1% but not the -1% accuracy goal.</td>
<td>+1% Yes -1% No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Cox Usage Meter

The Cox Internet data usage meter provides subscribers with information about how much traffic has crossed their residential Internet connection. The meter sums traffic to and from the Internet and displays a data consumption summary for the current billing period as shown here.

![Figure 4 – Summary Usage View](image)

Cox’s Internet usage reports are accessible via the Cox customer portal at [http://www.cox.com/](http://www.cox.com/). If you are a subscriber, you can view your Internet usage information by logging in at the sign-in prompt at the top right of the portal menu bar. This opens the “My Account” window. The data usage meter link is in a box labeled “Internet”. Click on “View data usage” within the Internet box to reveal the data usage meter.

Scrolling down the usage meter page shows usage over time, with selections for *monthly*, *current daily*, and *past daily* views shown below. All counts are rounded to the nearest GB.
How the Cox Data Usage Meter Works

Cox subscribers connect to the Internet through a cable modem at the subscriber’s location, and from there data traffic travels over a local coaxial and Hybrid Fiber-Coaxial (HFC) cable system to a Cable Modem Termination System (CMTS). The traffic continues from there through the Cox network and into the Internet.

The CMTS counts downstream and upstream traffic for each subscriber cable modem it serves. Downstream traffic flows from the Internet to the subscriber, and upstream traffic flows from the subscriber to the Internet. The measurement sites were supported by Cisco cBR-8 CCAP series CMTSs. Each CMTS periodically reports the down and upstream counts in an Internet Protocol Detail Record (IPDR) as shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8 - How the Meter Data Is Processed

From the CMTS, IPDRs are sent to the IPDR processing system, which collects, processes, and stores IPDR data streamed from CMTSs. IPDR processing involves time normalization and usage data mediation, and the IPDR Collector cross checks the completeness and correctness of IPDR data to detect anomalies that can result in inaccurate usage data.

The IPDR aggregator then gathers data from multiple collectors and converts incremental traffic counts into traffic data in a process referred to as ETL (extract, transform, and load). The IPDR aggregator extracts data from the CMTSs, transforms it to fit operational needs, and loads it into the Cox EBI (enterprise business intelligence) warehouse. Within the EBI warehouse, traffic data is associated with subscriber accounts, and the meter value is calculated for each day to create a meter record. From here the data is fed to the Cox data usage meter within the subscriber portal, which displays household data usage as shown above.

The requirements for how cable modems communicate with the CMTS and for how subscriber traffic is transported are defined in the Data over Cable Service Interface Specification (DOCSIS) developed by CableLabs. The IPDR specification is managed by the TeleManagement Forum (TM Forum). A DOCSIS Management Information Base (MIB) defines how traffic is stated in the IPDR.

**What the Meter Shows**

There are a numbers steps between the time a subscriber’s packet moves through the cable modem and the counts appear on the Cox subscriber portal. CMTS traffic counts are generally reported every 15 minutes. The IPDR processing system aggregates the counts and summarizes them by hour. The usage management platform database receives the updates and prepares the results to be shown on an hourly basis.
NetForecast Meter User Experience Assessment

Goals were also set for the user’s experience of the data usage meter as displayed on the Cox subscriber portal. The following table summarizes the goals for the user experience specification factors, and Cox’s performance relative to those goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meter Factor</th>
<th>Cox Goal</th>
<th>NetForecast Assessment</th>
<th>Goal Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>User Experience Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
<td>Usage occurring in an hour will update onto the web portal by noon the following day.</td>
<td>The meter updates by 7AM.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granularity</td>
<td>Usage shown in whole GB (single number).</td>
<td>The usage meter displays whole GB values.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematical Consistency</td>
<td>Portal details add up to summary usage value within +/-2% of the total value.</td>
<td>The manually tabulated sum of the daily usage values may differ from the monthly value shown on the portal because the daily data is rounded multiple times. The difference is, however, within +/-2% of the total value.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Usage meter is no more than two clicks after logging into portal.</td>
<td>The usage meter is one click from portal login.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Usage meter is available 99% of the time.</td>
<td>This was not assessed; however, the portal was available when accessed.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td>Comprehensive FAQ pages.</td>
<td>The FAQ pages contain limited, but not comprehensive information about the meter.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For a 30-day period, NetForecast recorded the hour during which the portal updated. As Figure 9 shows, the Cox meter values always updated by 7AM the following day, thus exceeding the timeliness goal.

![Timeliness: Update Arrival Hours](image)

**Figure 9 – Meter Update Performance**

**NetForecast Internet Usage Meter Accuracy Validation Methodology**

All measurements were performed using the NetForecast UMap℠ service delivery platform. The UMap system is enabled by measurement and reporting software embedded into customized, fully-featured, wireless home routers supplied and supported by NetForecast. The UMap system operating on the Cox network performs two types of measurements, active and passive, as shown in Figure 10.
Active Reference Testing
This description applies to the red dashed line of data flow in Figure 10. For the active reference test locations, NetForecast installs a probe running NetForecast software that generates traffic following defined usage profiles under a variety of conditions.

Passive Traffic Measurements
This description applies to the green dashed line of data flow in Figure 10. For the passive locations, the UMap system continuously measures real-user traffic traversing the home Internet connections of many actual subscribers. Passive measurements are made under real-world conditions—i.e., passive measurement relies exclusively on the subscriber’s home traffic.

Calculating Meter Error
UMap data is adjusted to ensure that UMap measurements count the same protocol overhead as the CMTS counts. NetForecast then aligns the hourly usage records from the UMap system with the hourly records from the Cox meter system so the same hours are compared. Daily sums are generated for each site. NetForecast applies the following formula to the UMap and Cox usage meter daily traffic measurement records:

\[
Error = \frac{(Cox \ Record - UMap \ Record)}{UMap \ Record}
\]

If the error result is positive, the meter is overcounting. If the error is zero, the meter is as accurate as it can be, and if the error result is negative, the meter is undercounting. Results are shown as a percentage. Each site-day error result is an error sample. Daily error samples are aggregated into cumulative daily error values for each day of the month. The last cumulative daily error value is the month-end error (MEE).
Conclusions

Cox established an accuracy goal for its Internet data usage meter to correctly measure traffic passing through a subscriber’s cable modem within +/-1% over each month. Based on 9,223,480 audit measurements gathered throughout 2019, NetForecast validates with 95% statistical confidence that the typical site was undercounted by -5.83%.
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APPENDIX A – Yearly Apdex

Analyzing and reporting on the error of a system is complex. One could take a simple approach of averaging the error of all samples. If the system has a significant bias, the simple average would show that bias (e.g., most samples are 10% low). However, if a system is fundamentally accurate, the mean (average) or median will be essentially zero. But that result tells us that half of the samples are higher and half are lower. Many samples may be far from the median; therefore, usage meter accuracy assessment should not use averages.

Although under most circumstances subscribers receive accurate meter information, it is not uncommon for a meter system occasionally to provide inaccurate meter information affecting only a few subscribers. These infrequent events are typically called the “long tail of a statistical distribution.” This may seem unimportant, but if the long tail encompasses a large portion of the sample size, the meter cannot be considered accurate.

To provide clear insight, NetForecast applies the Application Performance Index (Apdex)—an open standard that defines a method for reporting the meaning of many measurement samples from the user’s perspective. Apdex provides a uniform way to analyze and report on the degree to which measured accuracy meets a specific goal.

The methodology is promoted by vendors, enterprises, and professionals who are members of the Apdex Alliance. The Alliance uses the rating scale to communicate scores indicating excellent to unacceptable performance. See www.apdex.org to learn more.

Apdex converts many values into a simple meaningful number that properly reflects the user’s perspective of performance relative to a performance target. Assuming a +/-1% specification month-end error, samples are placed into the following categories each month:

- **In Spec/Compliant**: Number of samples within the +/-1% meter specification. These samples clearly meet the goal.
- **Minor Undercounting**: Number of samples below -1% but greater than -5% (undercounting). Undercounting is outside the specification; however, some modest undercounting can be tolerated since the subscriber is not harmed by some traffic not being counted.
- **Major Undercounting**: Number of samples below -5% (undercounting). Major undercounting is outside the specification and because it is so far from the actual traffic, even though it benefits the subscriber, it nevertheless undermines the confidence in the accuracy of the meter system.
- **Overcounting/Incorrect**: Number of samples above +1% (overcounting). Any overcounting above the 1% specification is a serious problem that users will not tolerate.

The Apdex methodology converts many measurements into one number on a uniform scale of 0 to 1 (0 = completely inaccurate; 1 = perfect accuracy). The resulting Apdex score is a numerical measure of accuracy. The Apdex formula is the number of in-spec results, plus ¾ of the minor undercounting results, plus ½ of the major undercounting results, plus none of the incorrect results, divided by the total number of samples.

\[
\text{Apdex}\[S\] = \frac{\text{In Spec} + (\text{Minor Out of Spec Low} \times 0.75) + (\text{Major Out of Spec Low} \times 0.5)}{\text{Total Samples}}
\]

Note: Incorrect samples have zero value.